(3)
CF/1006

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3198 OF 2003

PETITIONERS : 1. Mohammed Raza,son of Ashique Ali, aged about 55 years, presently working as Head Constable, Telecom,(Operator).

P.R. No. 30/9/03
Presented by Shri Yogesh Pandey
dated 30.09.03

2. Ram Narayan Chaturvedi,son of Yamuna Prasad Chaturvedi, aged about 39 years,presently working as Constable(Telecom),. Both are posted at Police Head Quarter,(Telecom),Bhilai, District-Durg,(C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY.
30.9.03
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

: 3. Ram Das Sen,son of C.P.Sen, aged about 48 years,presently working as Head Constable, Telecom,posted at Police Station Kondagaon,(Telecom Station), Jagdalpur, District-Bastar,(C.G.)

: 4. Roop Kishor Bhagoria, son of Shri Basanti Lal Bhagoria,aged about 39 years, presntly working as Constable,Telecom,posted at Police Station-Kondagaon, (Telecom Station), Jagdalpur, District Bastar,(C.G.)

Copy Rec'd
30/9/03
for VOI

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1. Union of India, through the Secretary,Department of personnel/Public Grievances and Pension,IInd floor, LokNayak Bhawan,Khan Market, New Delhi.

: 2. State of Madhya Pradesh,through Secretary,Department of Home Affairs,Vallabh Bhawan,Bhopal, (M.P.)

: 3. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary,Department of Home Affairs,D.K.S.Building, Mantralaya,Raipur, District Raipur,(C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

...2...

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

39

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Writ Petition No. 3198 of 2003

Petitioner — Mohammed Raza

Versus

Respondents — Union of India & others

Shri B. D. Guru, Advocate for the petitioners.

Shri S. K. Beriwal, Standing counsel for Respondent No.1.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for Respondents No. 3.

Shri V. C. Ottalwar, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

ORAL ORDER

(21st February, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submit that the petitioners, who are working in the Home Department, are permanent residents of the State of Madhya Pradesh. They had submitted their option for the State of Madhya Pradesh. The petitioners have been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh and they want their reallocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh. Learned counsel for the petitioners, on instruction, submits that the petitioners be granted liberty to make a representation to the respondents for redressal of their grievances. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to the said submission.

2. In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of finally, with liberty to the petitioners to make appropriate representation to the respondents/authorities concerned, within a period of two weeks from today, and the respondents/authorities are directed to consider and decide the same, in an objective manner, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, if any.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur